

Noteworthy Changes due to Wave V Reprocessing

Introduction

This document is intended to be used as a guide while reviewing the newly issued (April 2008) MMCWV reports. It summarizes significant differences in the data from that reported in November 2007. If a report topic is not addressed below, there were no significant changes in the data after it was reprocessed.

REPORT: Executive Summary

Youth served – Page 1

- The November report cited a total of 28,393 children matched with a mentor, slightly more than the 28,283 counted in Wave IV.
- The corrected total is 25,883 children matched; a decline from Wave IV.

Active mentors – Page 1

- The November report listed 20,603 active mentors, the largest number counted in Michigan.
- The corrected total is 18,232 – still the largest number counted – and an increase over Wave IV's total of 16,382.
- However, the total increase in new mentors from Wave I to Wave V is 9,124 – short of the 10,000 new mentors previously reported.

Net change in the number of youth mentored – Page 1

- The percentage of organizations reporting both increases and decreases in the number of youth mentored was correctly reported in November (51% and 15% respectively).
- However, the correct net increase is 2,518 over Wave IV, not the 2,832 reported in November.

Inquiries and Applications – Page 2

- The November report cites an increase of almost 1,000 in the number of written applications from Wave IV, but the correct data shows a decline of 109 applications.
- The significant finding is that in Wave IV, 46% of inquiries lead to written applications. In Wave V, that percentage grew to 59%.

	WAVE IV	WAVE V	
		November	April
Number of inquiries to be a mentor	17,522	15,123	13,380
Monthly Average	1,460	1,010	1,115
Number of written applications to be a mentor	8,000	9,062	7,891
Monthly Average	666	755	658

Noteworthy Changes due to Wave V Reprocessing

REPORT: The Scope and Nature of Mentoring in Michigan

Active mentors – Page 3

- The November report listed 20,603 active mentors, the largest number counted in Michigan.
- The corrected total is 18,232 – still the largest number counted – and an increase over Wave IV's total of 16,382.
- However, the total increase in new mentors from Wave I to Wave V is 9,124 – short of the 10,000 new mentors previously reported.

Net change in the number of youth mentored – Page 4

- The percentage of organizations reporting both increases and decreases in the number of youth mentored was correctly reported in November (51% and 15% respectively).
- However, the correct net increase is 2,518 over Wave IV, not the 2,832 reported in November.
- The November report incorrectly noted strikingly similar net increases in the number of youth served for both school-based (1,275) and community-based (1,297) programs.
- The correct numbers are 956 for school-based; 1,303 for community-based programs.

Youth mentored – Page 4

- The November report cited a total of 28,393 children matched with a mentor, slightly more than the 28,283 counted in Wave IV.
- The corrected total is 25,883 children matched; a decline from Wave IV.

Inquiries and Applications – Page 5

- The November report cites an increase of almost 1,000 in the number of written applications from Wave IV, but the correct data shows a decline of 109 applications.
- The significant finding is that in Wave IV, 46% of inquiries lead to written applications. In Wave V, that percentage grew to 59%.

	WAVE IV	WAVE V	
		November	April
Number of inquiries to be a mentor	17,522	15,123	13,380
Monthly Average	1,460	1,010	1,115
Number of written applications to be a mentor	8,000	9,062	7,891
Monthly Average	666	755	658

Noteworthy Changes due to Wave V Reprocessing

Mentoring Type – Page 6

- With 59% of organizations using it, one to one mentoring is still by far the most common type of mentoring practiced.
- The November report incorrectly listed the percentage at 69%.
- The November reported listed 25% of community-based programs using team mentoring; the correct percentage is 10%.

Mentor Demographics – Page 8

- There is a slight increase in the number of male (3 percentage points) and African-American (1 percentage point) mentors over Wave IV, although not as large of an increase as reported in November.

Mentor Gender

	<i>Wave IV</i>	<i>Wave V</i>	
		<i>November</i>	<i>April</i>
Male	35%	39%	38%
Female	65	61	62

- The November report indicates most mentors in school-based programs are aged 18 or under or are between 26 and 55.
- The correct data shows that most (41%) are between 26-55, with a large percentage (21%) 18 and under.

Youth Served Demographics – Page 9

- The biggest change in data after reprocessing is in the percentage of youth in school-based programs aged 6 to 11.
- In November the number reported was 74%; the accurate number is 64%.

Mentor Michigan: Quality Program Standards for Youth Mentoring

Most Difficult Standards to Meet – Page 13

- Only the order of the top three most difficult standards to meet changed from November to April. They are now Recruitment Plan, Program Evaluation, and Mentor Support, Recognition and Retention.

Noteworthy Changes due to Wave V Reprocessing

REPORT: Mentoring by Geographic Area in the State of Michigan

NOTE: The greatest change in data can be found in the Grand Rapids/Muskegon geographic area. The Wave V Total, Tri-County Area and Southeast Michigan also reflect some changes in numbers.

Active Mentors – Page 4

- The November report listed 20,603 active mentors, the largest number counted in Michigan.
- The corrected total is 18,232 – still the largest number counted – and an increase over Wave IV's total of 16,382.
- However, the total increase in new mentors from Wave I to Wave V is 9,124 – short of the 10,000 new mentors previously reported.
- The Grand Rapids/Muskegon area leads the state with more than a third of the mentors (6,437), less than reported in November (8,920).
- Organizations in Southeast Michigan have 112 more mentors than reported in November.

Youth served – Page 5

- The November report cited a total of 28,393 children matched with a mentor, slightly more than the 28,283 counted in Wave IV.
- The corrected total is 25,883 children matched; a decline from Wave IV.
- Organizations in the Grand Rapids/Muskegon serve more youth (7,218) than any other geographic area, but less than the 9,832 reported in November.
- Organizations in Southeast Michigan have 104 more youth served than reported in November.

Mentor/Youth Matches – Page 6

- The November data reports that the aggregate number of mentor/youth matches increased by 3,910 over Wave IV.
- The correct number is 3,596.
- The net change from Wave IV is 2,518, not the 2,832 reported in November.
- In November, the Flint/Saginaw/Bay Area was incorrectly listed as leading lead the state with 64% of organizations reporting a match increase. **NOTE: This was a mistake in the November report, as data in the tables then showed that 69% of organizations in Grand Rapids/Muskegon reported an increase.**
- However, in sheer numbers, Grand Rapids/Muskegon organizations only report a net increase of 482 matches. Therefore, organizations in the Flint/Saginaw/Bay Area do lead the state in the net increase of matches at 1,142.

Noteworthy Changes due to Wave V Reprocessing

<i>Question</i>	<i>November</i>			<i>WV Total</i>	<i>April</i>	
	<i>WV Total</i>	<i>GR / Musk</i>	<i>Flint/Sag/ Bay Area</i>		<i>GR / Musk</i>	<i>Flint/Sag/ Bay Area</i>
Total number of matches						
Increased #	3,910	951	1,147	3,596	610	1,147
Decreased #	1,078	128	5	1,078	128	5
Net Change #	2,832	823	1,142	2,518	482	1,142

Inquiries and Applications – Page 7

- The November report cites an increase of almost 1,000 in the number of written applications from Wave IV, but the correct data shows a decline of 109 applications.
- The significant finding is that in Wave IV, 46% of inquiries lead to written applications. In Wave V, that percentage grew to 59%.

	<i>WAVE IV</i>	<i>WAVE V</i>	
		<i>November</i>	<i>April</i>
Number of inquiries to be a mentor	17,522	15,123	13,380
Monthly Average	1,460	1,010	1,115
Number of written applications to be a mentor	8,000	9,062	7,891
Monthly Average	666	755	658

- Geographic differences are consistent from the November to April reports, with only slight changes in the percentages for Grand Rapids/Muskegon.

Mentoring Intensity and Duration – Page 9

- The percentage of organizations having no minimum time requirement for mentor/youth matches is 6%, not 3% as reported in November.
- November data cited 41% of organizations in Grand Rapids/Muskegon require match durations of 12 months; April data reports 34% do so.

Demographic Profile

Mentors – Page 12

- There is a slight increase in the number of male (3 percentage points) and African-American (1 percentage point) mentors over Wave IV, although not as large of an increase as reported in November.

Mentor Gender

	<i>Wave IV</i>	<i>Wave V</i>	
		<i>November</i>	<i>April</i>
Male	35%	39%	38%
Female	65	61	62

Noteworthy Changes due to Wave V Reprocessing

- The November report showed Grand Rapids/Muskegon organizations with 39% of their mentors as male and 9% African-American.
- The correct numbers are 36% male; 7% African-American.

Site of Organization and Mentoring Type – Page 15

- Grand Rapids/Muskegon has the greatest use of one-to-one mentoring across the state. However, the 81% reported in November has been corrected to 75%.

Mentoring Capacity – Page 16

- The November report states that organizations list a net mentoring capacity increase of 5,886 mentoring relationships since September 2003.
- The correct number is 4,592.
- Also in the November report, organizations in Grand Rapids report having the largest net change in mentoring capacity (3,222).
- The correct figure is 1,928, still significantly higher than the next largest net change in the Flint/Saginaw/Bay Area (945).

Mentoring Program Budgets – Page 17

- The percentage of organizations reporting increased budgets since September 2003 is slightly higher than reported in November (45% as opposed to 42%).
- However, the amount of the aggregate budget increase is significantly more than reported in November.
- The correct aggregate budget increase is almost \$3 million.
- The net increase in budgets at \$2.69 million, not the \$2.36 million reported in November.
- Actual figures are listed below:

November 2007

Changes in Budget for Mentoring Programs Since 2003

42 (31%) organizations report an increased budget totaling	\$2,584,205
14 (10%) organizations report a decreased budget totaling.....	\$ 222,316
Net change...	\$2,361,889

April 2008

Changes in Budget for Mentoring Programs Since 2003

45 (32%) organizations report an increased budget totaling	\$2,922,015
14 (10%) organizations report a decreased budget totaling.....	\$ 222,316
Net change.....	\$2,699,699

Noteworthy Changes due to Wave V Reprocessing

- The 46% of organizations in Grand Rapids/Muskegon reporting a budget increase is much higher than the 39% reported in November.
- More significantly, the net budget increase for organizations in this area is more than \$1/2 million. The number reported in November totaled \$167,050.
- The specific figures for the Grand Rapids/Muskegon area are listed below:

Changes in Budget for Mentoring Programs Since 2003

	<i>November GR/Musk</i>	<i>April GR/Musk</i>
% of orgs. with an increase in budget	39%	46%
% of orgs. with a decrease in budget	9%	8%
% of organizations reporting no change	16%	23%
% of organizations reporting "don't know"	26%	23%
Increased \$	\$235,050	\$572,860
Decreased \$	\$68,000	\$68,000
Net Change \$	\$167,050	\$504,860

Organizational Characteristics

Mentoring Program Duration – Page 18

- In addition to the 62% (8) of mentoring organizations in Southwest Michigan that have been operating mentoring programs for more than 10 years, 56% (9) of those in the Flint/Saginaw/Bay Area also boast that longevity.

Satisfaction with Mentor Michigan – Page 22

- State-wide satisfaction with Mentor Michigan remains high.
- The Tri-County Area leads the state in satisfaction (93%), followed by Grand Rapids/Muskegon (92%).
- The November report incorrectly listed Grand Rapids/Muskegon (91%) and Southeast Michigan (90%) as having the highest satisfaction in the state.

Noteworthy Changes due to Wave V Reprocessing

REPORT: Mentoring in Michigan:Capacity Change

Mentoring Capacity – Page 3

- A total of 4,592 organizations report a net mentoring capacity increase in mentoring relationships since September 2003.
- The November report listed the number at 5,886.

Mentoring Program Budgets – Page 4

- The percentage of organizations reporting increased budgets since September 2003 is slightly higher than reported in November (45% as opposed to 42%).
- However, the amount of the aggregate budget increase is significantly more than reported in November.
- The correct aggregate budget increase is almost \$3 million.
- The net increase in budgets at \$2.69 million, not the \$2.36 million reported in November.
- Actual figures are listed below:

November 2007

Changes in Budget for Mentoring Programs Since 2003

42 (31%) organizations report an increased budget totaling	\$2,584,205
14 (10%) organizations report a decreased budget totaling.....	\$ 222,316
Net change...	\$2,361,889

April 2008

Changes in Budget for Mentoring Programs Since 2003

45 (32%) organizations report an increased budget totaling	\$2,922,015
14 (10%) organizations report a decreased budget totaling.....	\$ 222,316
Net change.....	\$2,699,699